

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

W. J. 04

From the
INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

PCT

To:

DEPPENBROCK, Bonnie L.
GlaxoSmithKline
Five Moore Drive
PO Box 13398
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709
ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE

APR 06 2005

GLOBAL INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF
THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Rule 71.1)

Date of mailing
(day/month/year)

29.03.2005

Applicant's or agent's file reference
PU4964WO

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

International application No.
PCT/US 03/39619

International filing date (day/month/year)
12.12.2003

Priority date (day/month/year)
13.12.2002

Applicant
SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al.

1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.
4. **REMINDER**

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The applicant's attention is drawn to Article 33(5), which provides that the criteria of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability described in Article 33(2) to (4) merely serve the purposes of international preliminary examination and that "any Contracting State may apply additional or different criteria for the purposes of deciding whether, in that State, the claimed inventions is patentable or not" (see also Article 27(5)). Such additional criteria may relate, for example, to exemptions from patentability, requirements for enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the claims.

Name and mailing address of the international
preliminary examining authority:



European Patent Office
D-80298 Munich
Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d
Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Authorized Officer

Schlemmer, M-C

Tel. +49 89 2399-8082



PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT



INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference PU4964WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/PEA/416)	
International application No. PCT/US 03/39619	International filing date (day/month/year) 12.12.2003	Priority date (day/month/year) 13.12.2002
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC C07D413.00		
Applicant SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al.		

1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 8 sheets, including this cover sheet.
- ☐ This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).
- These annexes consist of a total of sheets.

3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:
- I ☒ Basis of the opinion
 - II ☐ Priority
 - III ☒ Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
 - IV ☐ Lack of unity of invention
 - V ☒ Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
 - VI ☐ Certain documents cited
 - VII ☐ Certain defects in the international application
 - VIII ☐ Certain observations on the international application

Date of submission of the demand 09.06.2004	Date of completion of this report 29.03.2005
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:  European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465	Authorized Officer Stroeter, T Telephone No. +49 89 2399-8088 

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No. PCT/US 03/39619

I. Basis of the report

1. With regard to the elements of the international application (*Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)*):

Description, Pages

1-101 as originally filed

Claims, Numbers

1-38 as originally filed

2. With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:

- ☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).
☐ the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3).

3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:

- ☐ contained in the international application in written form.
☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.
☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.
☐ The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.
☐ The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.

4. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

- ☐ the description, pages:
☐ the claims, Nos.:
☐ the drawings, sheets:

5. ☐ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).

(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No. PCT/US 03/39619

III. Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

1. The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:

- ☐ the entire international application,
☒ claims Nos. 1-21 (in part), 22-27 and 36-38

because:

- ☒ the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 22-27, 36-38 relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):

see separate sheet

- ☐ the description, claims or drawings (*indicate particular elements below*) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (*specify*):
☐ the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.
☒ no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos. 1-21 (in part)

2. A meaningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions:

- ☐ the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the Standard.
☐ the computer readable form has not been furnished or does not comply with the Standard.

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Yes: Claims	1-38
	No: Claims	
Inventive step (IS)	Yes: Claims	
	No: Claims	1-38
Industrial applicability (IA)	Yes: Claims	1-21, 28-35
	No: Claims	

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

Claims 22-27 and 36-38 relate to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(i) PCT).

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1 Subject-matter of the independent claims

The present application is directed to inhibitors of the chemokine-type CCR5 receptor which are useful in the treatment of viral diseases like HIV infections (independent claims 1 and 27) and the use thereof in the preparation of medicaments (independent claims 28 and 30). Furthermore pharmaceutical compositions comprising such compounds (independent claim 33) and methods of treatment (independent claims 22, 24, 26 and 36) are claimed.

2 Prior art documents

Reference is made to the following documents. The given numbering will be adhered to in the rest of the procedure:

D1: FINKE P E ET AL: "Antagonists of the human CCR5 receptor as anti-HIV-1 agents. Part 2: structure-activity relationships for substituted 2-aryl-1-[N-(methyl)-N-(phenylsulfonyl)amino]-4-(piperidin-1-yl)butanes" BIOORGANIC & MEDICINAL CHEMISTRY LETTERS, OXFORD, GB, vol. 11, no. 2, January 2001 (2001-01), pages 265-270, XP004314863 ISSN: 0960-894X

D2: FINKE PAUL E ET AL: "Antagonists of the human CCR5 receptor as anti-HIV-1 agents. Part 3: a proposed pharmacophore model for 1-(N-(methyl)-N-(phenylsulfonyl)amino)-2-(phenyl)-4-(4-(substituted)piperidin-1-yl)butanes" BIOORGANIC & MEDICINAL CHEMISTRY LETTERS, OXFORD, GB, vol. 11, no. 18, 2001, pages 2469-2473, XP002962948 ISSN: 0960-894X

D3: DORN C P ET AL: "Antagonists of the human CCR5 receptor as anti-HIV-1 agents. Part 1: Discovery and initial structure-activity relationships for 1-amino-2-phenyl-4-(piperidin-1-yl)butanes " BIOORGANIC & MEDICINAL CHEMISTRY LETTERS, OXFORD, GB, vol. 11, no. 2, January 2001 (2001-01), pages 259-264, XP004314862 ISSN: 0960-894X

Furthermore, the International Search Report mentions P-documents D4 and D5 which do not form part of the state of the art according to Rule 64.1(b) PCT:

D4: MAEDA K ET AL: "The current status of, and challenges in, the development of CCR5 inhibitors as therapeutics for HIV-1 infection" CURRENT OPINION IN PHARMACOLOGY, ELSEVIER SCIENCE PUBLISHERS., NL, vol. 4, no. 5, October 2004 (2004-10), pages 447-452, XP004558853 ISSN: 1471-4892

D5: KUMAR S ET AL: "PHARMACOKINETICS AND INTERACTIONS OF A NOVEL ANTAGONIST OF CHEMOKINE RECEPTOR 5 (CCR5) WITH RITONAVIR IN RATS AND MONKEYS: ROLE OF CYP3A AND P-GLYCOPROTEIN" JOURNAL OF PHARMACOLOGY AND EXPERIMENTAL THERAPEUTICS, AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR PHARMACOLOGY AND, US, vol. 304, no. 3, 1 March 2003 (2003-03-01), pages 1161-1171, XP009019167 ISSN: 0022-3565

For the purposes of this communication the priorities of the present application and the above prior art have not been checked and it has been assumed that they are valid.

3 Novelty (Article 33(2) PCT)

The presently claimed compounds differ from the closest CCR5 inhibiting prior art

compounds of D1 and D2 through the cyclopropane ring, i.e. through the CH₂ group "bridging" the single C2-C3 bond in said prior art compounds. Thus, compound claims 1-21 and consequently further claims 22-38 appear to be novel.

4 Inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT)

The present application is directed to the problem of providing alternative CCR5 inhibitors for the treatment of viral diseases. The Applicant fails to cite specific test data to make credible that the claimed compounds actually solve the problem posed. However, even if such data is provided it is to be noted that the modification made starting from the structurally closest prior art compounds of D1, D2 (replacement of two H's with CH₂ to arrive at the cyclopropane moiety) appears to be a small structural variation and the skilled man would have expected that the present compounds have at least qualitatively the same pharmacological activity. Therefore said structural modification does not involve an inventive step.

If the Applicant, however, could convincingly argue that the modification made is not obvious then it is noted that there are more structural differences between tested examples given in the present description and compounds claimed in claims 1-21 then there are structural differences between the present example compounds and those of the closest prior art. Thus, in view of the tested examples which cover and as such provide support only for a restricted group of compounds, it is not obvious and therefore not credible that all embodiments embraced by the scope of the present claims do exhibit the stated pharmacological effect and as such solve the problem posed.

Furthermore, in view of D1-D3 it appears that the presence of certain structural features is fundamental for retention of the pharmacological activity, e.g. the substituent R¹⁰ is phenyl in all of the present examples and thus the present definition of R¹⁰ in claim 1 does not appear to be appropriate. The same must be stated for ring A which is either a piperidine or an 8-azabicyclooctane and for R¹-(CH₂)_n- which is also limited to NMe-SO₂-Ph as recommended in D1-D3 or NMe-CO-ring.

Thus, at present the subject-matter of the present set of claims is not inventive.

5 Industrial applicability (Article 33(4) PCT)

The subject-matter of the present claims 1-21 and 28-35 is in accordance with the requirements of Article 33(4) PCT.

For the assessment of the present claims 22-27 and 36-38 on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.

6 Certain defects in the international application

The requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT are not met since the relevant background art has not been identified in the description.